RECEIVED FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION 1 BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION 2 2018 APR 14 AM 9: 39 In the Matter of 3) 4) MUR CELA CASE CLOSURE UNDER THE 5 **ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM** A. J. OTJEN FOR CONGRESS/ 6 7 COMMITTEE TO ELECT A.J. OTJEN. 8 AND AGNES J. OTJEN. AS TREASURER **AGNES J. OTJEN** 9 10 11 12 GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT 13 Under the Enforcement Priority System, matters that are low-rated 14 : Are 15 forwarded to the Commission with a recommendation for dismissal. The Commission has 16 determined that pursuing low-rated matters, compared to other higher-rated matters on the 17 Enforcement docket, warrants the exercise of its prosecutorial discretion to dismiss these 18 cases. The Office of General Counsel scored MUR 6252 as a low-rated matter. 19 In this matter, the complainant, Joe Bailey, states that A. J. Otien for 20 Congress/Committee to Elect A. J. Otien and Agnes J. Otien, in her official capacity as 21 treasurer ("the Committee"), as well as candidate Agnes J. Otjen, violated 2 U.S.C. 22 §§ 441d(a)(1), 441d(c) and 441d(d) and 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.11(a)-(c) by failing to include 23 appropriate disclaimers on two types of purported campaign communications. First, the 24 complainant supplied photocopied samples of what he characterizes as "mass 25 mail[ed]...fliers." which were allegedly distributed by the respondents in Billings, Montana 26 and in Bozeman, Montana, on November 7, 2009. Both fliers, which invite recipients to

Ms. Otien is currently a candidate for Montana's at-large congressional seat.

² "Mass mailings" are defined to be mailings of "more than 500 pieces of mail matter of an identical or substantially similar nature within any 30-day period." 11 C.F.R. § 100.27. Such mailings are considered to be "public communications" that require disclaimers, see 11 C.F.R. §§ 100.26 and 110.11(a).

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 attend a fundraiser for Ms. Otjen on November 13, 2009, request that RSVPs be directed to

- 2 "alicia@otjenforcongress.com, and include the Committee's website address,
- 3 <u>http://www.otienforcongress.com/.</u> However, according to the complainant, because the fliers
- 4 failed to include disclaimers in the appropriate printed format, stating that the Committee had
- 5 paid for them, he claims that the respondents violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441d(a)(1) and 441d(c) and
- 6 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.11(a)-(c).

Second, the complainant asserts that "on or about December 2, 2009, the Committee began running a thirty second radio advertisement featuring Ms. Otjen as the main speaker" which also omitted "the necessary disclaimers." Attached to the complaint is what complainant purports to be a transcript and an audio recording of the radio spot, in which an announcer states "Paid for by OtjenforCongress.com [sic]." However, although candidate Otjen states "This is A. J. Otjen for U.S. Congress," she fails to say that she approved the communication, as set forth in 2 U.S.C. § 441d(d) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(c). The complainant concludes by requesting that the Commission investigate his allegations.

Ms. Otjen, who responded on behalf of the Committee as well as herself, states that only 150 fliers were mailed and another 200 were handed out which, ahe notes, is substantially under the "over 500 similar pieces of mail matter" threshold set forth in 11 C.F.R. §100.27.

Thus, she takes the position that the fliers did not constitute "public communications," as set forth in 11 C.F.R. § 100.26 and, therefore, did not require disclaimers, see footnote 2. With respect to the radio advertisement, Ms. Otjen concedes that she and her Committee ran six radio spots that omitted the requisite statements that she had approved the messages. Ms.

Although the transcript provided by the complainant quotes the narrator as stating "Paid for by OtjenforCongress." in the audio recording, the disclaimer states "Paid for by OtjenforCongress," without the "dot com" suffix.

MUR 6252 (A. J. Orjen, et al.) Case Closure under EPS Page 3 of 4

- 1 Otjen states that her omission was unintentional and that she had taken action to "correct
- 2 everything going forward" by adding a disclaimer to the Committee's website and to other
- 3 campaign materials and communications.
- Based upon Ms. Ojten's assertions, it appears that the fliers did not meet the "over 500"
- 5 pieces of similar mail" threshold set forth at 11 C.F.R. § 100.27. Thus, it appears that they
- 6 were not "public communications" that were subject to the FEC's disclaimer requirements at 2
- 7 U.S.C. § 441d and 11 C.F.R. § 110.11. In contrast, with respect to the campaign
- 8 advertisements that were broadcast on radio, appropriate disclaimers were required, including
- 9 statements made by the candidate approving the message, as set forth in 2 U.S.C. § 441d(d)
- and 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(c). However, based on Ms. Ojten's response, it appears that six
- 11 campaign radio broadcasts may not have included such a statement. Although, given that the
- 12 broadcasts apparently included other identifying information, it appears that the public would
- 13 not have been misled as to whether Ms. Otjen had approved of the advertisements, and that
- any violations of 2 U.S.C. § 441d(d) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(c) were technical in nature and
- unintentional. Accordingly, in furtherance of the Commission's priorities and resources,
- 16 relative to other matters pending on the Enforcement docket, the Office of General Counsel
- 17 believes that the Commission should exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss this
- matter. See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985). Additionally, this Office intends on
- 19 reminding Agnes J. Otjen, and A. J. Otjen for Congress/Committee to Elect A. J. Otjen and
- 20 Agnes J. Otien, in her official capacity as treasurer, of the requirements under 2 U.S.C. § 441d
- and 11 C.F.R. § 110.11 concerning the use of appropriate disclaimers on radio advertisements
- 22 made in connection with the campaign.

M

MUR 6252 (A. J. Otjen, et al.) Case Closure under EPS Page 4 of 4

RECOMMENDATIONS

The Office of General Counsel recommends that the Commission dismiss MUR 6252,	
close the file, and approve the appropriate letters. Additionally, this Office recommends	
reminding Agnes J. Otjen, and A. J. Otjen for Congress/Committee to Elect A. J. Otjen and	
Agnes J. Otjen, in her official capacity as treasurer, of the requirements under 2 U.S.C. § 441d	
and 11 C.F.R. § 110.11 concerning the use of appropriate disclaimers on radio advertisements	
made in connection with the campaign.	
<u>-4/14/10</u> Date BY:	Thomasenia P. Duncan General Counsel Gregos R. Baker Special Counsel Complaints Examination & Legal Administration Supervisory Attorney Complaints Examination & Legal Administration

Ruth Heilizer
Attorney

Complaints Examination & Legal Administration